

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SHUNSUKE INOUE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 684.2946	CONFIRMATION NO. 9449
09/472,989		12/28/1999			
5514	7590	03/22/2002			
		ELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				ABDULSELAM, ABBAS L	
		/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		\angle		2674	1
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/472,989

Applicant(s)

SHUNSUKE INOUE ET AL>

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Abbas Abdulselam

Group Art Unit 2674



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 8, 2002	·				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)					
☐ Claims					
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Dapproved Disapproved.				
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been				
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)				
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE DEELCE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-7 have been considered but they are moot in view of the new ground for the rejection

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omae et al. (USPN 5963283) in view of Honda et al. (USPN 5147127)

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Omae teaches about a liquid crystal panel and projection display device for enlarging and projecting to a screen (176). Omae teaches images displayed on a small liquid crystal panel (177) are enlarged and projected using project lens (174). In connection to liquid crystal panels, Omae teaches specific electrode pattern on a circuit board, and electrode substrates in pixel display area. See column 1, lines 9-15, 20-23, column 2, lines 6-15, and column 4, lines 13-21, and Fig 21. However, Omae does not teach about a projection a holder fixed on the circuit board for holding the display panel that is connected with the electrodes. Honda on the other hand teaches a liquid crystal apparatus with holding unit (40), a holding plate (41), and a display panel (30). See Fig 1. Honda also teaches supply terminals (48a,

Application/Control Number: 09/472,989 Page 3

Art Unit: 2674

48b) contacted to the outer bottom (13a,13b) acting as electrodes and receiving terminal. Fig. 3 and Column. 5, lines 17-27.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Omae's projection display device to include Honda's holding unit to better support Omae's projection display apparatus. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Honda that the holding unit of the apparatus is equivalent of the desired holder.

Regarding claim 3, Honda teaches a lighting unit (20) along with fluorescent lamp (11) whose light reflected from the reflecting film. See Fig 1 and col. 1, lines 26-34.

Regarding claim 4, Honda teaches a pair of wires (71a, 71b). See Fig 7.

Regarding claim 5, See Fig 1.

Regarding claim 6, Omae teaches a liquid crystal panel (177) with respect to the formation of an optical images. Omae teaches the formation as a change in light scattering is converted to a change in brightness on the screen (176). Column 18, lines 44-55.

Application/Control Number: 09/472,989 Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/472,989

Art Unit: 2674

ppindulou control (tambél: 95, 1, 2,5 e.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Abbas Abdulselam whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this actions should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal park II, crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or preceding

should be directed to the Technology center 2600 Customer Service office whose telephone

number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600